

## **JAMES DASHER TRIAL TRANSCRIPT NOTATIONS**

### **Beginning Page # Of Notes**

73 Victim, Louise Jackson escorted to hospital with Detective John Lengel, (male) rather than one of the first responding Officers, Julie Moore (female). Victim examined and treated at Jackson Rape Treatment Center. What became of results?

76 Victim's Bedroom processed for fingerprints, specifically in relation to places Victim's statement suggest that Culprit touched, none of which matched James Dasher.

77 Interior of bedroom thoroughly processed for finger prints. Victim acknowledges telling husband and son that she felt she knew who did this.

78 What is the information about a fight between son and husband regarding Willie, the person the Victim stated she felt committed the crimes?

79 Victim offers no voice or physical identification of Mr. Dasher. And furthers that she could not associate Mr. Dasher with the crime at all.

80 Victim acknowledges telling Detective and husband on the night of the crime that she felt it was Willie.

88 Officer Moore states the victim indicated that the voice of culprit was familiar.

93 Expert witness Dr. Renee Parker verifies victim statement of penetration and ejaculation.

96 Dr. Parker verifies "STRONG POSITIVE" of the presence of ejaculation.

97 Dr. Parker offers no connection between James Dasher and the findings of her examination of Victim.

124 Again, prosecution displays photo of dead dog associating it with crime further prejudicially inflaming jury with no defense objection, and no connection to crime, or Mr. Dasher having caused dog's demise.

127 What finger prints and from where, led to the arrest of Mr. Dasher. Were prints from inside the bedroom included or withheld in request for arrest warrant.

138 What finger prints and from where. Constant referral to finger prints without reference to fact that no prints of James Dasher were matched amongst multitude of prints found in bedroom.

157 Multiple pictures of dead dog to inflame jury without any connection to dog's demise.

160 Evidence of prosecutorial malfeasance or serious misconduct in attempting to further mislead jurors over defense multiple objections and judge's admonitions.

163 Original descriptions given by victim, 5'8" to 5'9" submitted by Officer Moore. Officer Moore related gun was nickel plated not chrome, nickel is dull chrome shiny. Detective admits having presented several pictures of Mr. Dasher to Victim and she identifies none.

164 Detective admits using stenographer to record conversation with Victim about crime, but no type of recording of his questioning Mr. Dasher. Detective as well basically admits misleading questioning of Mr. Dasher in efforts to solicit answers to questions regarding Mr. Dasher's whereabouts at the time of crime, or rather during the general time frame of the month that the crime occurred, rather than a specific time, day or date. Then requested a warrant for his arrest based on false or misleading information, coupled with the exclusion of fingerprint evidence, statements of the Victim regarding her naming a potential perpetrator and the suppression of fingerprint evidence from inside the Victim's bedroom on several items or surfaces that may very well have matched the person named by the Victim. These fingerprints did not belong to the Victim, neither of her son's, her husband, nor James Dasher, but were somehow excluded from the entire case presentation, as well as any investigation information surrendered for discovery or trial purposes.

170 Mr. Lamons mentioned Mr. Dasher being beaten at questioning or at sometime within the arrest and initial detention period, and that he would not bring that before the Jury in a sidebar discussion between the Judge and the Attorneys. Why?

171 Very critical and seriously questionable dialogue between Judge and Prosecution about not having recorded statement from James Dasher and why the Lead Detective did not present any recorded questioning of Mr. Dasher.

179 There were 17 fingerprint cards. Of the 17 print cards, Police Technician Bernard Brewer only examined the cards presented to him by someone else who was not disclosed at trial. Who selected the print cards for examination? Who presented to Mr. Brewer only that select set of prints and why was this done, rather than presenting all and surely the most significant sets of prints as well. Those from the Victim's purse, which had been emptied in search of money; prints from the television, which had been turned off to remove lighting from the room in the course of the crime; prints taken from a dresser, which had been touched in the effort to find other items of value, and the prints from a close hamper located under the window of entrance to and exit from the bedroom by the perpetrator responsible for this crime scene.

180 Technician verifies that prints left inside the house, processed later the same night or rather early morning should still be present, as prints on exterior window seal, by asserting that prints can easily last at least 2 weeks on the window seal, when undisturbed. Surely prints found inside, unexposed to the elements of outdoor conditions would last even longer when undisturbed. Why should they not be presented in discovery and disclosure to the defense or at trial, rather than concealed from the jury and the opportunity to find the discrepancies that allow juries' to reach decisions that evaluate facts and evidence as it relates to a complete set of circumstances in the finding of guilt or innocence.

192 Defendant and corroborating witnesses show that his fingerprints along with many others were on the exterior window seal as a result of purchasing marijuana through that window from Victim's husband, as reasonable explanation for prints on the exterior window seal, while none of the many mysterious and more significant prints lifted inside the room on personal items belonging to the Victim, were prints that neither matched Mr. Dasher or anyone else disclosed at trial, despite the Victim, her stepson, and her husband stating from the witness stand that she had told Lead Detective, John Lengel and First responding Officer, Julie Moore, that she felt the Perpetrator was a guy name Willie, a friend of her husband.

197 Christopher Jackson, the Victim's stepson testifies that a suspicious yellow Pontiac was twice parked in front of the home earlier and that Victim discussed on the night of the crime that she felt a man named Willie had committed the crimes against her. Christopher Jackson also verified that he and his father, Edward Jackson both knew James Dasher by having picked Dasher from a photo lineup presented by Detective John Lengel, though he did not identify Mr. Dasher as having committed any crime. This revelation came before Edward Jackson, the Victim's husband took the witness stand and first denied knowing Mr. Dasher, but reluctantly agreeing that he had on deposition admitted knowing Mr. Dasher.  
@ 204.

208 Prosecution admits to Judge knowing that Willie Dowdell was at the Victims house buying drugs through window contested.

238 Defense Counsel, Mr. Lamons objects to and vigorously points out to the Judge the total misconduct by the prosecutorial staff openly permitted by the Court in the presence of the jury seriously prejudicing defendant and denying any possible chance of a fair and impartial trial. Moves for mistrial with objection overruled and motion for mistrial denied.

244 Prosecution, Mr. Hildebrandt admitted having verbal contact with #1 member of the Jury out of court as well as Prosecution, Ms. Weintraub admitting at the same time being approached by Jurors out of court, but most importantly, and undeclared, in the absence of defense Counsel. Moreover, Mr. Weiss, the #1 Juror who had conversation with Mr. Hildebrandt, was earlier admonished about taking notes during trial and advised by the Court that no such communication or contact with either of the Attorneys or the Court was permitted. In light of this serious constitutional breach, how could Mr. Dasher know what was said between Prosecution and any of the Jurors that compromised the lines of separation in the absence of his defense Counsel? If at no other point in these proceedings, a mistrial should have been motioned for and granted upon the Courts findings that such breaches had occurred, as there is no way to be absolutely certain of what was said and or what egregious constitutional violations further transpired in the absence of Defense Counsel.

246 Walter Johnson, then a senior at Florida International University, verifies in testimony the purchasing of drugs at window of Victim's residence in question.

258 Edward Jackson, the Victim's husband, who is revealed as a drugs dealer through the window in question by several accounts, denies knowing Mr. Dasher despite several earlier witnesses, including his son verifying under oath that he does.

262 Husband, Edward Jackson, admits wife mentioned Willie as culprit on the night of the crime. Mr. Jackson also admits that he knew James Dasher after impeachment by Defense Counsel.